

SBI-1 Montreal, Canada, 2 - 6 May 2016 Agenda item 5 of the provisional agenda Review of progress towards Aichi Biodiversity Target 16 on the Nagoya Protocol

We welcome the comprehensive work done to compile document **UNEP/CBD/SBI/1/3** and other complimentary report such info document UNEP/CBD/SBI/1/INF/1

IIFB has clearly read the document and appreciate the progress of work done by parties and the secretariat on the work on target 16. We do agree that many parties to the Nagoya Protocol have made progress in establishing the institutional structures, ABS legislative, and Policy measures required for implementing the Protocol.

This is important and could like to thank the parties who have invited Indigenous Peoples and local communities to participate in some of the activities and process at the national level, especially National biodiversity strategies and action plans (NBSAPs):

Mr Chairman despite the progress of work, most countries are yet to include Indigenous Peoples and Local communities in these processes. We do believe that even if Countries do not have Indigenous Peoples, at least there are local communities. We have experiences where in some countries, Indigenous Peoples and Local Communities do not know the legislations that exist on the Nagoya Protocol or even ever met the focal point.

This is mainly because in many cases there are no linkages or coordination among the different ministries /institutions/ departments working on the implementation of the Protocol. It is important to have this coordinate in order to ensure Governance systems are in place and understood by all, including Indigenous Peoples and Local communities and other stakeholders

Mr Chairman, we are extremely excited of the countries that have taken further steps of translating the Nagoya protocol to local languages. We are happy to also share that Our Amaghzir colleagues from Morrocco have also translated the Protocol to Amaghzi local language. This was one of the outcome from the capacity training supported by the Japanese fund and the secretariat in 2014 for IPLC in Africa. This is important for enhancing the capacity and awareness-raising activities that will include Indigenous Women. It is a participatory approach that will ensure that IPLCs including Women and youth understand and own the Protocol and thereby contribute to the success of the implementation. By understanding the content of the protocol, and as providers of traditional knowledge associated with genetic resources, will ensure that such knowledge is accessed with prior informed consent, approval and involvement and that mutually agreed terms are established collectively to support the sharing of benefits.

Mr Chairman, since COP10 in Nagoya, IPLCs have taken up many initiaves to enhance Capacity both at the regional, national and Local level. In particular, we thank the Japan Fund through the Secreatariate of the Convention who has provided funding that has allowed us not only to



be reached at the regional level but also gone ahead to provide small grants to reach out at the local levels. This fund has helped the networking, learning and sharing and the relationships grow both for IPLCs, Gonvernments and other key partners at all levels.

We urge The Governmnet of Japan to know that we value this support. We do urge other parties and donors to join Japan and contribute further to ensure that our partcipation in this valuabale process is garanteed and ensure exchange among different Indigenous Poeples and local communities to share their different experiences at the regional and international level.

Mr Chairman there are many areas we still need Capacity building,

- We need to be fully involvement in the processes leading to the implimentaion of of the Protocol. There is just more than Traditional Knowledge
- Our work on the developmnet of Community protocals need to be enhanced and we urged that the work of 8j should not be separed form this process. The two have to keep working together and updating on various activities undertaken.
- There is need to train IPLC, and guide them on providing information to be made available to the Access and Benefit-sharing Clearing-House (ABS Clearing-House) in accordance with Article 14 of the Protocol.

IIFB supports the draft recommendations but would like to add recommedation on:

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Nagoya Protocol

- Request the Parties to the Nagoya Protocol in their meeting COP MOP2 of the Protocol to adopt the term Indigenous Peoples and Local communities as it was adopted by the Conference of Parties to the Convention on Biological diversity at the 12th meeting in South Korea. (the para 2 of XI/14G is on the terminology of IPLCS)
- 2. Invite Parties and donors to provide financial and technical support to IPLC including women to develop community Protocols in accordance with chapter 12 of the Nagoya protocol.